## Warrant Article Questionnaire Non Standard Town Agency Articles

## Section III – Questions with Response Boxes – To Be Completed By Petition Sponsor

Article # 16	Date Form Completed: 9/1/17	
Article Title: Rescission of 2011 Vote Establishing Other Post-Employment Benefits		
Liability Trust Fund		
Sponsor Name: Town Administrator	Email: mwhite@natickma.org	

Question	Question
1	Provide the article motion exactly as it will appear in the Finance Committee
	Recommendation Book and presented to Town Meeting for action.
Response	Move that the Town vote to rescind the vote under Article 8 of the 2011 Fall Annual Town
	Meeting, which vote authorized establishment of an Other Post-Employment Benefits Liability
	Trust Fund.
2	At a summary level and very clearly, what is the proposed purpose and objective of this
	Warrant Article and the accompanying Motion?
Response	In summary, the purpose of this Article is to rescind the OPEB Liability Trust Fund created
	in 2011, given that a 2017 vote of Town Meeting established an OPEB Trust Fund pursuant
	to current legislative authority (the Municipal Modernization Act) which vote effectively
	superseded the 2011 vote.
	As quoted from the 2017 Spring Annual Town Meeting Recommendations of the Natick
	Finance Committee: "The 2011 Fall Annual Town Meeting, under Article 8, voted to
	establish an OPEB Trust Fund. The passage of the Municipal Modernization Act, which in
	part authorized municipalities to establish an OPEB trust fund that complies with the legal
	requirements for trusts and with applicable GASB requirements, created a situation
	whereby Town Counsel has advised that under the provisions of the Municipal
	Modernization Act, Town Meeting should re-authorize establishment of the fund.
	This article is essentially a repeat of a vote taken by the 2011 Fall Annual Town Meeting
	under Article 8. This proposal ensures compliance with the terms of the Municipal
	Modernization Act and, further, is consistent with the Town's Financial Management
	Principles by securing OPEB funds in a Liability Trust Fund. During Finance Committee
	discussion it was understood that the current OPEB Fund with the current balance would
	remain in place, likely through the end of the current calendar year. It is possible that at
	Fall Town Meeting or the 2018 Spring Annual Town Meeting an article will be brought
	forward to transfer the balance and close the existing fund. By not acting favorably on this
	proposal, Town Meeting's 2011 establishment of an OPEB Liability Trust Fund will have
	been done and would remain under prior legislative authorization, as opposed to being
	, , , , ,

The information provided here is considered a public record. Rev. 02/16/2017

## Warrant Article Questionnaire Non Standard Town Agency Articles

	anacted and gaining contain hanafite of the logislation under the Municipal Madagnization
	enacted and gaining certain benefits of the legislation under the Municipal Modernization Act.
3	Has this article or one of a very similar scope and substance been on a previous Warrant Article and what has been the actions taken by Finance Committee, other Boards or Committees and Town Meeting?
Response	Yes, see response to #2, above
4	Why is it required for the Town of Natick and for the Town Agency sponsor(s)?
Response	The OPEB Trust Fund established in 2011 is not compliant with current law.
5	Does this article require funding, how much, from what source of funds and under whose authority will the appropriation be managed and spent?
Response	No funding is required.
6	Does this article act in any way in concert with, in support of, or to extend any prior action of Natick Town Meeting, Massachusetts General Laws or CMR's or other such legislation or actions?
	Does this article seek to amend, rescind or otherwise change any prior action of Natick Town Meeting?
Response	Yes to both questions, as explained under #2, above.
7	How does the proposed motion (and implementation) fit with the relevant Town Bylaws, financial and capital plan, comprehensive Master Plan, and community values as well as relevant state laws and regulations?
Response	The OPEB Trust Fund established in 2017 is compliant with current applicable law, whereas the Fund established in 2011 is not.
8	Who are the critical participants in executing the effort envisioned by the article motion?
Response	Assuming Town Meeting approves this motion, no follow-up actions are necessary.
<u>.</u>	

The information provided here is considered a public record. Rev. 02/16/2017

## Warrant Article Questionnaire Non Standard Town Agency Articles

9	<ul> <li>What steps and communication has the sponsor attempted to assure that:</li> <li>Interested parties were notified in a timely way and had a chance to participate in the process</li> <li>Appropriate Town Boards &amp; Committees were consulted</li> <li>Required public hearings were held</li> </ul>
Response	This situation has been well communicated primarily through 2017 Spring Annual Town Meeting discussion regarding establishment of an OPEB Trust Fund in compliance with current law (as noted under #2, above)
10	Since submitting the article have you identified issues that weren't initially considered in the development of the proposal?
Response	No.
11	If this Warrant Article is not approved by Town Meeting what are the consequences to the Town and to the sponsor(s)? Please be specific on both financial and other consequences?
Response	If the Article is not approved, the Town would have 2 OPEB Trust Funds, one of which would not be in compliance with current applicable law.