Section III – Questions with Response Boxes – To Be Completed By Petition Sponsor

Article #26	Date Form Completed: 3/9/2021						
Article Title: Amend Zoning Bylaws: Adult Use Marijuana Establishment Uses							
Sponsor Name: Planning Board	Email: jfreas@natickma.org						
	tevans.pb@natickma.org						

	e the article motion exactly as it will appear in the Finance Committee Recommendation								
	Question Provide the article motion exactly as it will appear in the Finance Committee Recommendation Book and presented to Town Meeting for action.								
MOTIO Move t	ON A: o amend Section III-K (Marijuana Establishments) of the Natick Zoning Bylaws by the following:								
1.	DELETE sections A, B, C, D, and E in their entirety.								
MOTIO Move t	ON B: To amend Section III-K.2 (Adult Use Marijuana Establishments) by the following:								
1.	Remove the section title, which reads, "Section III-K.2: Adult Use Marijuana Establishments," so that the following section is now under the section title "Section III-K: Marijuana Establishment."								
2.	In subsection 4, remove the "2" at the end of the first paragraph of the subsection so that the it now reads "and shall apply only to this Section III-K."								
3.	In subsection 4, after the definition of Craft Marijuana Cooperative, add the following two definitions:								
	Delivery Courier: An entity licensed by the Commission to deliver marijuana products from a licensed marijuana retailer to customers. A delivery courier is not authorized to actually sell marijuana products to consumers—only to deliver from retailers, who make the sales themselves.								
	Delivery Operator: An entity licensed by the Commission to purchase marijuana products at wholesale, to warehouse the products, label them (but not repackage them), sell them and deliver them. It may not operate a storefront retail operation; all sales must be through delivery.								
4.	In subsection 5.1, following the words "Craft Marijuana Cooperatives," add "Delivery Courier, Delivery Operator,".								
5.	In the subsection header that reads, "III-K.5.2 Marijuana Establishment Use Regulation Schedule" remove the "III-K." so that the subsection header now reads "5.2 Marijuana Establishment Use Regulation Schedule."								
	1. MOTIO Move t 1. 2. 3.								

	6. In subsection 5 following two			tabl	e, ur	nder	the li	ne fo	or "C	raft I	Marij	uana	Coo	pera	tives	" add	l the	
	<u>Delivery Courier</u>	SP	N	N	<u>N</u>	N	<u>N</u>	<u>N</u>	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	<u>N</u>	N	
		SP	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
	7. In subsection 5.2, in the table, under the line for "Marijuana Retailers" add the following row:							row:										
	Other Adult-Use Marijuana Establishments	<u>SP</u>	<u>N</u>	N	N	<u>N</u>	N	<u>N</u>										
	8. Delete the sub	secti	ion t	hat r	eads	"5.2	Inte	ntion	ally	left b	lank	"						
2	At a summary level and very clearly, what is the proposed purpose and objective of this Warrant Article and the accompanying Motion?																	
Response	Motion A removes the no longer necessary moratorium on adult use marijuana establishments. Motion B incorporates two Adult Use Marijuana Establishments to the Zoning Bylaws following the promulgation of regulations for these uses by the state Cannabis Control Commission.																	
3	Has this article or one					•						•						
Daggagaga	have been the actions	take	пру	the i	-IIIai	ice c	OIIIII	ппе	, ou	ier b	oaru	SOL	JOITH	mille	es ar	10 10	WIII	neetings
Response	Warrant Period		thor	Com	mitta	200	E	inCor	n Λc	tion		Тт	own	Mod	ting			
	SATM 2020	0	LITE	COIII	11111111			iiicoi	II AC	tion		+ '	OVVII	IVICC	tilig			
	FTM 2019																	
	SATM 2019																	
	FTM 2018																	
	Prior																	
	Comments: No																	
4	Why is it required for	r the	Tov	vn o	f Na	tick	and t	for t	ne T	own	Age	ncy	spon	sor(s)?			
Response																		
5	Does this article requauthority will the app			-							urce	of fu	unds	and	und	er w	hose	į
Response	No, other than the exp										nd p	ublic	safe	ty res	spon	sibilit	ties.	

The information provided here is considered a public record. Version 2.1 Rev. 08/24/2020

6	Does this article act in any way in concert with, in support of, or to extend any prior action of Natick Town Meeting, Massachusetts General Laws or CMR's or other such legislation or actions?
	Does this article seek to amend, rescind or otherwise change any prior action of Natick Town Meeting?
Response	This article rescinds the now expired moratorium on adult use marijuana establishments voted by the 2017 Fall Town Meeting and provides for additional uses to those already voted by the 2018 Fall Town Meeting and found in Section III-K of the Zoning Bylaws.
7	How does the proposed motion (and implementation) fit with the relevant Town Bylaws, financial and capital plan, comprehensive Master Plan, and community values as well as relevant state laws and regulations?
Response	M.G.L. ch 94 G governs the legalization of adult-use marijuana in Massachusetts. Section 3 of this law requires that if a Town intends to ban a type of marijuana establishment, it can only do so by Town-wide referendum. On January 8, 2020 new regulations promulgated by the Cannabis Control Commission went into effect creating the two marijuana delivery types of marijuana establishment. By not including these two types of marijuana establishment in Natick's Zoning Bylaw, the Town effectively has a ban in place without the required town-wide referendum. Therefore, Motion B of this article is proposed in order to remedy the current inconsistency with State law. Further, a "catch-all" use is proposed to be added so as to prevent this scenario from occurring again.
8	Who are the critical participants in executing the effort envisioned by the article motion?
Response	Community and Economic Development Dept; Planning Board; Select Board; Building Dept; Police Dept.
9	 What steps and communication has the sponsor attempted to assure that: Interested parties were notified in a timely way and had a chance to participate in the process Appropriate Town Boards & Committees were consulted Required public hearings were held
Response	The Planning Board reviewed the subject matter of the article at its meeting on February 3, 2021; and held a properly noticed public hearing on the warrant article on February 17. The proposed article has also been discussed at Select Board meetings during general discussions of the licensing and permitting of adult use marijuana establishments in Natick as well as discussed individually with interested parties in the business and residential communities.
10	Since submitting the article have you identified issues that weren't initially considered in the

	development of the proposal?
Response	No
11	If this Warrant Article is not approved by Town Meeting what are the consequences to the Town and to the sponsor(s)? Please be specific on both financial and other consequences?
Response	The Town could be subject to a legal challenge by an applicant seeking to locate one of the two Marijuana Delivery establishment types in Natick and being denied that opportunity based on the current ban on these uses created by their omission from the current zoning bylaw.