### Section III – Questions with Response Boxes – To Be Completed By Petition Sponsor

Article # 29	Date Form Completed: 03.17.2023	
Article Title: Zoning Map Amendments for the Highway Mixed-Use – I (HM-I)		
Sponsor Name: James Errickson, Town Administrator	Email: jerrickson@natickma.org	

Question		Question			
1	Provide the article motion exactly as it will appear in the Finance Committee Recom			ee Recommendation	
	Book and presented to Town Meeting for action.				
Response	onse Move to amend the Natick Zoning Map, as follows:				
	Industrial-II (I-I	cel identified by the Town Asses ) to Highway Mixed-I (HM-I), wit center line of Route 9, including	h HM-I zoning exten	ding south of the	
	-	cel identified by the Town Asses C-II) to Highway Mixed-I (HM-I)	sor's records as 17-0	000005C from	
	17-0000005C, a	pective frontage of 17-00000094 and 17-0000005A of each parcel hway Mixed-I (HMI-I)	•		
_			· · · ·		
2	At a summary level and very clearly, what is the proposed purpose and objective of this Warrant Article and the accompanying Motion?				
Response					
	Rezone parcels of land owned by MathWorks from I-II or C-II to HM-I. The amend				
	<ul> <li>to the Zoning Map will allow for consistent zoning throughout the Lakeside Campus.</li> <li>Rezone the roadways from the parcel line to the center of the street to follow zoning best practices.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Allow for future development of campuses within the Golden Triangle that su nonresidential tax payers.</li> </ul>				gle that support	
3	Has this article or one of a very similar scope and substance been on a previous Warrant Article				
	and what have been the actions taken by the Finance Committee, other Boards or Committees				
	and Town Meeting?				
Response	During the Special Town Meeting No. 1, First Session of March 20, 1979, the Town Meeting				
	voted to approve Article 2. Article 2 rezoned the Carling and St. Germaine (commonly know "Westarknit") properties from I-II as HM-I.				
	Warrant Period	Other Committees	FinCom Action	Town Meeting	
	Ex: 2021 SATM	Ex: Planning Bd refer	Ex: Referral	Ex: Referral	

	1979 STM (March 20, 1979)	Planning Board recommended favorable action; Select Board sponsored the Article		Unanimous approval
	Comments: According to the 2022 Natick Zoning Bylaw, the HM-I Zoning District was created in 1979. The HM-I has not been amended since 1979, with the exception of Article 1 of STM #2 if 2000 of October 10, 2000, which amended the old identification system using letters and numbers to the new Dewey Decimal System.			
4	Why is it required for	the Town of Natick and for the T	own Agency sponsor	(s)?
Response	<ul><li>Consistent zor</li><li>Best practices</li></ul>	ne Natick Zoning Map allows for the Natick Zoning Map allows for the some of zoning going to the center line an existing zoning district to allow	n ownership; e rather than ending	
5	Does this article require funding, how much, from what source of funds and under whose authority will the appropriation be managed and spent?			
Response	The development or implementation of Articles 28 and 29 do not require funding. The proposed amendments to the Zoning Bylaw and Zoning Map are expected to allow for future development and reinvestment within HM-I, which would contribute to the tax base.			
	<u> </u>			
6		n any way in concert with, in supp , Massachusetts General Laws or		
	Does this article seek Meeting?	to amend, rescind or otherwise c	hange any prior acti	on of Natick Town
Response	which rezoned the ex "does not include mu existing Industrial-II z	in concert with the intent of Arti isting HM-I from I-II. The 1979 To Iti-family residential uses and it n oning rights of the properties." Su opment within one of Natick's mo	wn Annual Report st nakes specific provisi uch expansion of HM ost prominent econo	ated that the HM-I ons to protect the -I will allow for future

7	How does the proposed motion (and implementation) fit with the relevant Town Bylaws, financial and capital plan, comprehensive Master Plan, and community values as well as relevant state laws and regulations?				
Response	Article 29 expands the existing HM-I Zoning District for future development and reinvestment into Natick.				
	Natick 2030+ continually references MathWorks and its development at the Apple Hill and Lakeshore Campuses. The Town established Goal R3.1, Review and Revise Zoning to Encourage Investment in Natick's Business Centers. Goal R4.1, Work to Retain Existing Businesses in Natick and Allow them to Grow and Thrive. The adoption of Articles 28 and 29 would allow the Town to meet both Goals, in addition to supporting major land and businesses.				
0	M/he are the exiting a sticing static section the effect on vision of hut the exiting state of 2				
8	Who are the critical participants in executing the effort envisioned by the article motion?				
Response	<ul> <li>Town Administrator</li> <li>Community and Economic Development</li> <li>MathWorks</li> </ul>				
9	<ul> <li>What steps and communication has the sponsor attempted to assure that:</li> <li>Interested parties were notified in a timely way and had a chance to participate in the process</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Appropriate Town Boards &amp; Committees were consulted</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Required public hearings were held</li> </ul>				
Response	<ul> <li>The Planning Board advertised the legal notice for the opening public hearing in the MetroWest Daily News on February 15 and February 22, 2023</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>The Planning Board held public hearings on March 1 and March 15, 2023</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Legal notices were sent to the abutting municipalities and parties of interest</li> </ul>				
10	Since submitting the article have you identified issues that weren't initially considered in the				
10	Since submitting the article have you identified issues that weren't initially considered in the development of the proposal?				
Response	No issues have been identified since the consideration of Article 29.				
11	If this Warrant Article is not approved by Town Meeting what are the consequences to the Town and to the sponsor(s)? Please be specific on both financial and other consequences?				

Response	If Article 29 were not to pass, existing limitations would hinder future development within the
	Golden Triangle, especially on 24 Superior Drive. Existing zoning dimensions restrict what is
	allowed to be developed on the site.